



## **PROJECT ACTIVITY REPORT**

### **OUTPUT 2.1**

#### **ACTIVITY 2.1.3 MEETING WITH STATE AND MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT, LOCAL PARTNERS AND LEADERSHIP TO EVALUATE THE PROJECT PERFORMANCE**

#### **"COMMUNITY FOREST MANAGEMENT: A sustainable alternative for the Maués State Forest, Amazonas State"**

**PD 454/07 REV. 3 (F)**

**INTERNATIONAL TROPICAL TIMBER ORGANIZATION ITTO**

**GOVERNMENT OF BRAZIL**

**AMAZONIAN INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (IPDA)**

Starting project date: June, 2012

Duration: 36 months

Total ITTO: \$ 513,527.00 EE.UU.

Total IPDA: \$ 136,805.00 EE.UU.

Gran Total: \$ 650,332.00 EE.UU.

Manaus - AM

December 2015



### Project's Technical Team

#### Permanent Personnel of Project, Financed by - ITTO.

Job Description	Name
Technical Project Coordinator	Adenilza Mesquita Vieira
Project Field Assistant	Silvaneide Mota da Costa
Administrative Coordinator	Daniele Rodrigues da Silva

#### Project Personnel, partner - IPDA

Job Description	Name
Project Manager	Carlos Fernando Ribeiro Guimarães
Consulting Coordinator	Francisco Aginaldo Queiroz Silva

#### ADDRESS OF THE EXECUTING AGENCY

##### Amazonian Institute of Research and Development (IPDA)

Rua João Alfredo 625 São Geraldo

CEP: 69.053-270

Telephone/Fax: (092) 3345-7000 / 99431-6193

E-mail: [ipda-am@hotmail.com](mailto:ipda-am@hotmail.com)

Email Contact:

Administrative Coordinator

[danyele.rodrigues@hotmail.com](mailto:danyele.rodrigues@hotmail.com)

Technical Project Coordinator

[silamesquita13@hotmail.com](mailto:silamesquita13@hotmail.com)



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction	05
2. Methodology applied	06
3. Presentation of data	06
4. Analysis, Data Interpretation and Results	07
5. Conclusion	08
6. Recommendations	08
7. Implications of practice	09
Bibliography	10
Annex	



## summary

This activity involving state and municipal governments, local partners and leaders took place on July 17, 2015, at the Museum of Man, in the city and Municipality of Maués and was attended by the residents and community of the Maués State Forest.

The Maués State Forest was established on July 2003. According to reports made by the participants of the workshops: there were many partners of communities and friends of the people of the Maués State Forest that contributed to reach the quality of life outcomes and social security policy and that exists today.

The IPDA was part of this process, contributing directly and indirectly to the establishment of the Maués State Forest, as well as the Union of Rural Workers of Maués (STTMR), Pastoral of Earth Coordination (CPT), the Working Group of the amazon (GTA) and subsequently Sustainable Amazon Foundation (FAS).

In the year of 2012 the IPDA took on the Project: COMMUNITY FOREST MANAGEMENT: A sustainable alternative for the Maués State Forest. Since then, the task has achieved major advances. There is a great hope on behalf of the beneficiary communities. The IPDA directorate has made continuous efforts in order for the project to be satisfactorily completed.

The objective of this report is to conduct a meeting with partners to assess project performance.



## 1. Introduction

The concerns about the environment and sustainable development is dated back to 1972 with the organization of the Roma club and with the Stockholm meeting where the outcome consolidated an initial concept – the search for a development aimed at maintaining natural resources for future generations.

The concept of Sustainability was achieved most recently, imposed by the market and public opinion, and brand building processes accompanying discussions on climate changes, imposing new ethical values for organizations and their social and environmental practices, and also their economic and sustainable development.

Three important concepts the IPDA utilizes in this sense in the development of their projects are:

- Empowerment and Participatory Planning: map, coordinate and organize the efforts of all stakeholders, government, NGOs and beneficiaries in the development of their projects. To IPDA, people are not objects but key players. The prospect on integration is the ability to optimize costs and elaborate the most efficient projects. The empowerment process' key point is to spread to the beneficiary all processes and knowledge resources needed for the actions to become more effective. Resulting by the end of the project (local action, punctual and limited) a greater impact and effectiveness in the transformation of reality
- Popular methodology and articulation of local knowledge: is the search for respecting perspectives of local actors, leaders and above all, the realities. There are realities in the Amazon that all by itself are unique cultural realities. Such practice articulates knowledge, innovations and develops new social technologies, resulting in a win win process.



- Excellence in Technical capacity: as an organization that provides environmental services, the idea is to bring elements and tools for social strengthening and technical achievement of projects. IPDA relies on upscale professionals and expects this to mirror into the project bringing excellent results.

## 2. Methodology applied

In the first part of the meeting freedom was granted for partners' presentations. Overall, partners spoke of the satisfaction of being part of a project that aims to improve quality of life and sustainability for forest communities.

The Coordinator Adenilza Mesquita Vieira (aka Sila) began by introducing the purpose of the meeting - discuss activity 2.1.3, which is to assess project performance and evaluate IPDA as executor of the project.

The mobilization task consisted of visits made by coordinator Adenilza Mesquita who visited organizations to exchange information and establish a sense of informality and encourage the participation of everyone.

During the afternoon, the groups were divided according to the GTM proposed by the project. The idea is to give opportunity for information exchange where everyone has the opportunity to speak up. In the end, conclusions made by each group as stressed.

The President of IPDA, Adenilza Mesquita along with Project Manager Fernando Guimarães, handed out an anonymous written evaluation to be assessed individually.

## 3. Presentation of data

At first moment, without the worry of arranging an opening phase, open space was granted for partners to make their opening statements. The Mayor of Maués, present at the event opened the speech session addressing the importance of the project, to enable generation of employment and income in the reality of the communities, which he himself originated from one of these communities is



committed to promoting development within the municipality and that he felt very honored to participate in the project, the posture of the coordination of the project, always concerned to advise, to participate, to consult possibility of meetings among all partners.

Being the voice of STTR Maria Amelia made a presentation, she sang a song composed for the women's movement MMTR and said: "It is important to not forget why we started up the mountain, hoping to transform reality in the broth of the union of technical, public power, riparian and the peoples of the forests. This is a unique moment not only to evaluate project performance, but to strengthen our struggle. "

Overall, all made votes of greeting. After this time the Project manager of IPDA, Fernando Guimarães, did a short corporate presentation and showed the main results of the project, highlighting actions and objectives. The coordinator Aginaldo directed the discussion to be assessed explaining how groups activities would work in for the afternoon. The whole dynamic concluded with the presentation of the Working Groups that ended the presentations with the figure of a tree pointing major advances, challenges and suggestions.

At the end President Sila distributed an individual evaluation form that was tabulated and we will present the main elements in the analysis of the data.

#### **4. Analysis, Data Interpretation and Results**

The data of the work result realize a very consolidated coalition, the best you can achievement in sustainability projects. They were analyzed during the work elements such as: capacity and quality of mobilization level and the useful information disclosed by the organization and if there are flows between the beneficiary organizations and interested organizations in implementing the project, the evaluation of the technical level of the project team, frequency and relationship of the project team with the beneficiary of the project, participation in decision making, efficiency on activity development, ability to achieve the strategic objectives and the mobilization and coordination of partners. The tabulation of results demonstrates the delight of all who were part of the evaluation.



Among the main points analyzed as positive is the work of inter-coalition, the project as it gathered with the leaders of the 19 communities Parauari, Cincantá and Apoctaua River, public power partners and non-governmental organization partners. And the body of the technical project, formed largely by militants of social and environmental movements, already known by the Project communities and partners.

As a challenge the point that drew attention by highlighting the speeches of the various participants in the evaluation was the bureaucratic time ratio procedure in the state government and the time of life that requires greater dynamics to benefit communities and achieve success in projects. For community leaders: "Our biggest concern is that the Community Forest Management project becomes another unfinished project because of the deadlines imposed by IPAAM ( environmental protection institute) involving any Community management plan of the state." It resonates as a major challenge the proposal of the government partners and those who already have approved projects, but who are without the prospect of conclusion for lack of resources: If there were integration efforts, perhaps together the coalition can make it happen, more than 14 management plan have initiated over the last 14 years, at least 3 may reach the marketing stage until at least the first half of 2017. This suggestion is justified in the effort to make the leaders to present and map the projects that can with adoption of mutual efforts promote the achievement of all project objectives in a timely manner.

## **5. Conclusion**

The data analyzed demonstrates good performance of IPDA and technical body of the project in the development of activities and coordination of the Project. The challenges and concerns of institutions have been homogeneous in demonstrating a leveling understanding of project tasks and the reality of it. It remains to IPDA develop maturation on this issue together with ITTO and advisers.

## **6. Recommendations**





Conduct dialogue with ITTO on proposals to complete the project.





## 7. Implications of practice

Social innovations are ways of unanticipated adjustments made by the technicians and available tools. This process was created through local knowledge and capacity of analysis of the agents empowered by local knowledge and technical skills that are acquired in processes of projects and also having a direct result regarding social and technical innovation of projects. It is up to decision makers as to how the project will be held, from the technical recommendations, aiming to facilitate the practical result of productive initiatives.

## Bibliography

- DE CAMINO, R. *Estado Actual del Manejo Forestal Comunitario y sus Perspectivas, em la Biosfera Maya, Petén, Guatemala. Memorias del Taller Regional, Manejo Forestal Comunitario y Certificación en América Latina*. Bolivia: GTF, GTZ e WWF. 2002.
- KENNY-JORDAN, BC; HERZ, C; AÑAZEO, M; ANDRADE, M. *Construyendo Cambios: Desarrollo Forestal Comunitario en los Andes*. Roma. Italia, 1999.
- LIBÓRIO, M. G. C. *Código Florestal Brasileiro*. Tese de Doutorado. Instituto de Geociências e Ciência Exatas da UNESP. Rio Claro. 1994, 383p.
- MORAIS E SILVA, Versides Sebastião. *Manejo de Florestas Nativas: Planejamento, Implantação e Monitoramento*. IFMT, 2006
- OIMT. *Manual de la OIMT para la formulación de proyectos*. Tercera edición, Yokohama, Organización Internacional de las Maderas Tropicales, 2009.
- SMITH, R. *Formas de organizações e papel das organizações de apoio às iniciativas de manejo florestal comunitário*. In: Oficina de Manejo Florestal Comunitário e certificação na América Latina: resultados e propostas – Paulo Amaral, Manuel Amaral Neto e Frank Krämer (org.). Belém: IMAZON,GTZ,IEB. 44p, 2005.

### Attendance List of Activity 2.1.3

**PROJETO DE MANEJO FLORESTAL COMUNITÁRIO NA FLORESTA DE MAUÉS – AMAZONAS BRASIL:**  
 “Uma Alternativa Sustentável para a Floresta Estadual de Maués”  
 Lista de Presença da Reunião

Produto 2.1 Realização de três reuniões com as instituições responsáveis por tomada de decisão, a fim de fomentar o diálogo entre os diferentes setores.





Atividade 2.1.3 Reunião com os parceiros para avaliar o desempenho do projeto.

Data: 17 de Julho de 2015  
 Horário: 9 Horas  
 Local: Sindicato dos Trabalhadores e Trabalhadoras Rurais – STR/Maués

Nº	NOME COMPLETO	INSTITUIÇÃO
01	André de Sousa Gomes	Sindicato de T. Rurais
02	Maria Hauilde Bastos de Andrade	STR/M
03	Sila Mosquito - Admilda	ITTO/IPDA
04	Paul Foneira da Trindade	Técnicos Florestal
05	Antônio Augusto	9919863.114
06	Franco Alves Cozer Pinheiro	991294497 (PREFEITURA)

Instituto de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento Amazônico – IPDA  
 CNPJ: 04.044.884.0001-37

### Attendance List of Activity 2.1.3

**PROJETO MANEJO FLORESTAL COMUNITÁRIO NA FLORESTA ESTADUAL DE MAUÉS**  
 “UMA ALTERNATIVA SUSTENTÁVEL PARA A FLORESTA ESTADUAL DE MAUÉS”  
 LISTA DE PRESEÇA

Nº	NOME	INSTITUIÇÃO
07	Silvaneide Mota	IPDA
08	Maria Lúcia Gomes Pessoa	DE MUC. SEMA
09	Robson Luiz A. RIBEIRO	GOV. AM
10	AGNEZ CORRÊA MOTA	PAJURA
11	Jairton de Aguiar Mesquita	São Raimundo
12	Emmanuel Barbosa	ASPA F.E.M.P
13	Rafael Valdimir M. Belizário	Vozes - Vento
14	ARMIL VIANA GOMES	IDRM
15	Maria Lucia Ipabanda da Costa	CEUC/SDS
16		
17		

Instituto de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento Amazônico – IPDA  
 Rua João Alfredo, 625, São Geraldo  
 Tel. (092) 3344-7000 - E-mail: ipda-am@hotmail.com

### Photo of Activity 2.1.3



**Photo of Activity 2.1.3**



**Photo of Activity 2.1.3**



**Photo of Activity 2.1.3**

